

ANNEXE 4 : LISTE D'OUTILS VALIDÉS DE DÉPISTAGE ET D'ÉVALUATION DE LA DYSPHAGIE

Author/ Name of test	Components of test Details of validation study	Results of original validation study
Daniels et al. 1997 ¹ "Any Two"	Items included: 6 clinical features-dysphonia, dysarthria, abnormal volitional cough (includes water-swallowing test), abnormal gag reflex, cough after swallow and voice change after swallow were assessed. Scoring : Presence of any 2 of the items distinguished patients with/without dysphagia Sample : 59 acute stroke survivors were studied within 5 days of hospital admission.	Diagnostic standard : VMBS exam Prevalence of dysphagia: 74.6% The sensitivities and specificities of individual items ranged from 31%-76.9% and 61%-88%, respectively. Overall: Sensitivity: 92% Specificity: 67%
Trapl et al. 2007 ⁴ The Gugging Swallowing Screen (GUSS)	Preliminary Assessment (vigilance, throat clearing, saliva swallow) Direct swallow (semisolid, liquid, solid swallow trials) Scoring : Total scores ranged from 0 (worst) - 20 (no dysphagia). A cut-off score of 14 was selected Sample: 50 first-ever acute stroke patients with suspected dysphagia	Diagnostic standard : fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation using the Penetration Aspiration Scale to interpret the results. Prevalence of dysphagia: 73% First group of 19 patients using the GUSS to identify subjects at risk of aspiration: Sensitivity: 100%, Specificity: 50% Second group of 30 patients Sensitivity : 100% Specificity: 69% Interrater reliability: Kappa=0.835
Martino et al. 2009 ⁵ The Toronto Bedside Swallowing Screening Test (TOR-BSST)	Items included: presence of dysphonia before/after water swallowing test, impaired pharyngeal sensation and abnormal tongue movement. Scoring : pass=4/4 items; fail ≥1/4 items Sample : 311 stroke patients (103 acute, 208 rehabilitation)	Diagnostic standard : VMBS exam. Prevalence of dysphagia: 39% Sensitivity: 96% Specificity: 64% Interrater reliability (based on observations from 50 subjects) ICC =0.92 (95% CI : 0.85-0.96)
Edmiaston et al. 2009 USA ⁶	Items included: Glasgow Coma Scale score <13, presence of facial, tongue or palatal asymmetry/weakness. If no to all 3 items, then proceed to 3 oz water swallowing test. Scoring : If there is evidence of change in voice quality, cough or change in	Diagnostic standard : Mann Assessment of Swallowing Ability (MASA), performed by a SPL. Prevalence of dysphagia: 29%

Author/ Name of test	Components of test Details of validation study	Results of original validation study
Acute Stroke Dysphagia Screen	vocal quality 1 minute after water swallowing test = fail. Sample : 300 acute stroke patients screened by nurses within 8 to 32 hours following admission.	Sensitivity (Dysphagia): 91% Specificity: 74% Sensitivity (aspiration risk): 95% Specificity: 68% Interrater reliability: Kappa=94 %
Turner-Lawrence et al. 2009 ⁷ Emergency Physician Dysphagia Screen	The two-tiered bedside tool was developed by SLPs. Tier 1 items included: voice quality, swallowing complaints, facial asymmetry, and aphasia. Tier 2 items included a water swallow test, with evaluation for swallowing difficulty, voice quality compromise, and pulse oximetry desaturation ($\geq 2\%$). Patients failing tier 1 did not move forward to tier 2. Scoring : Patients who passed both tiers were considered to be low-risk. Sample : a convenience sample of 84 stroke patients (ischemic/hemorrhagic) screened by 45 ER MDs.	Diagnostic standard : formal assessment conducted by an SLP Prevalence of dysphagia: 57% Sensitivity: 96% Specificity: 56% Interrater reliability: Kappa=0.90
Antonios et al. 2010 ⁸ Modified Mann Assessment of Swallowing Ability (MMASA)	12 of the 24 MASA items were retained including: alertness, co-operation, respiration, expressive dysphasia, auditory comprehension, dysarthria, saliva, tongue movement, tongue strength, gag, volitional cough and palate movement. Scoring : Maximum score is 100 (no dysphagia). A cut-off score of 94 was used to identify patients at risk of dysphagia Sample : 150 consecutive patients with acute ischemic stroke were assessed by 2 neurologists shortly after admission to hospital.	Diagnostic standard : MASA conducted by SLP Prevalence of dysphagia: 36.2% Sensitivity: 87% & 93% Specificity: 86% & 84% Interrater reliability: Kappa=0.76
Schrock et al. 2011 ⁹ MetroHealth Dysphagia Screen	5 Items included: Alert and able to sit upright for 10 minutes, weak, wet or abnormal voice, drooling, slurred speech and weak, or inaudible cough. Scoring : ≥ 1 items answered yes=failed screen Sample : 283 patients admitted to the Emergency department with acute stroke and screened for the presence of dysphagia by nurses	Diagnostic standard : VMBS Prevalence of dysphagia at 30 days: 32% Sensitivity: 95% Specificity: 55% Interrater reliability: Kappa=0.69